Table II Identification of Achievable Performance Standards Source Category: Bakery Ovens

	Rule/Measure						
Rule/Measure	Bay Area AQMD Rule 8-42, Large Commercial Bread Bakeries, adopted 9/20/89, amended 6/1/94	San Diego Co. APCD Rule 67.24, Bakery Ovens, adopted 6/7/94, amended and effective 5/15/96	Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD Rule 458, Large Commercial Bread Bakeries, adopted 6/7/94, amended 9/5/96	South Coast AQMD Rule 1153, Commercial Bakery Ovens, adopted 1/4/91, amended 1/13/95	U.S. EPA, Alternative Control Technology Document for Bakery Oven Emissions, 12/92		
Exemptions	less than 150 pounds ethanol per operating day, averaged	Bakery ovens at stationary sources where combined rated heat input capacity of all bakery ovens is less than 2 million BTU per hour Bakery ovens at stationary sources where uncontrolled VOC emissions from all bakery ovens combined is less than 50 tons per year	Small bakeries that emit less than 100 pounds total VOC per day (18 tons per year)	Existing ovens that emit less than 50 pounds uncontrolled VOC per operating day (9 tons per year)			

Table II Identification of Achievable Performance Standards Source Category: Bakery Ovens

	Rule/Measure						
Rule/Measure	Bay Area AQMD Rule 8-42, Large Commercial Bread Bakeries, adopted 9/20/89, amended 6/1/94	San Diego Co. APCD Rule 67.24, Bakery Ovens, adopted 6/7/94, amended and effective 5/15/96	Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD Rule 458, Large Commercial Bread Bakeries, adopted 6/7/94, amended 9/5/96	South Coast AQMD Rule 1153, Commercial Bakery Ovens, adopted 1/4/91, amended 1/13/95	U.S. EPA, Alternative Control Technology Document for Bakery Oven Emissions, 12/92		
Applicability	Bread ovens at large commercial bread bakeries that emit precursor organic compounds	VOC during baking of yeast-	Bread ovens at large commercial bread bakeries that emit VOC	Commercial bakery ovens with rated heat input capacity of 2 million BTU per hour or more and with average daily emission of 50 pounds or more of VOC			
Comments	Thermal incineration and catalytic incineration are technically and technologically feasible and cost effective (Technical Assessment Report, 7/27/89)	Catalytic oxidizer most cost- effective (Socioeconomic Impact Assessment, 4/94)	Thermal incineration and catalytic incineration most technically feasible (Staff Report, 6/7/94)	Regenerative thermal oxidation and catalytic oxidation technologically and economically feasible (Staff Report, 11/19/90)	Direct flame thermal oxidation is technically feasible but relatively expensive Regenerative oxidation is feasible Catalytic oxidation is technically and economically feasible		

S:\DRAT\WEBDOCS\BAKE2.WP6